STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ROGERS AND THE TOWN OF HASSAN PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES 414)) <u>ORDER</u>)
WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation	on was adopted by the City of Rogers
and the Town of Hassan; and	
WHEREAS, a resolution was received from the Ci	ty of Rogers indicating their desire that
certain property be annexed to the City of Rogers pursuant	t to M.S. 414.0325; and
WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic	
and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the	
annexation of land pursuant to said subdivisions; and	
WHEREAS, Reorganization Order No. 192, effective March 8, 2005, has transferred the	
duties of the Director to the Chief Administrative Law Judge; and	
WHEREAS, on May 17, 2007, the Chief Administrative Law Judge has reviewed and	
accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following d	described property is hereby annexed in

Lot 1, Block 1, East Hassen Estates Second Addition, Hennepin County,

accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Rogers, Minnesota, the same as

if it had originally been made a part thereof:

Minnesota, according to the recorded plat thereof.

PID# 13-120-23 14 0010. 4.65 acres

Dated this 17th day of May, 2007.

For the Chief Administrative Law Judge 658 Cedar Street, Room 300 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

bristing M. Scotillo

Christine M. Scotillo Executive Director

Municipal Boundary Adjustments

MEMORANDUM

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. OA-992-25, the Chief Administrative Law Judge finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

Section 13.01 states the agreement will terminate on August 15, 2030 in all respects End dates or ending mechanisms are problematic in that they appear contrary to the act of conferring jurisdiction to the Chief Administrative Law Judge. Once jurisdiction is conferred, it cannot be taken away by written consent of the parties. Jurisdiction ends when all the designated area is annexed. The issue whether jurisdiction could be "given back" by the Chief Administrative Law Judge upon written request of the parties to the agreement to mutually end their agreement has not been addressed.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.