OA-1100-4 Farmington Resolution No. R79-05

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION) AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF) FARMINGTON AND THE TOWN OF CASTLE ROCK) <u>ORDER</u> PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES 414)

WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted by the City of

Farmington and the Town of Castle Rock; and

WHEREAS, a resolution was received from the City of Farmington and the Town of

Castle Rock indicating their desire that certain property be annexed to the City of Farmington

pursuant to M.S. 414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the annexation of land pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, Reorganization Order No. 192, effective March 8, 2005, has transferred the duties of the Director to the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

WHEREAS, on July 13, 2005, the Chief Administrative Law Judge reviewed and accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Farmington, Minnesota, the same

as if it had originally been made a part thereof:

The South 275.00 feet of the North 825.00 feet of the East 400.00 feet of the NE¹/₄ of the NW¹/₄ of Section 5, Township 113, Range 19, Dakota County, Minnesota.

Dated this 13th day of July, 2005.

For the Chief Administrative Law Judge 658 Cedar Street, Room 300 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Christino Mr. Scotillo

Christine M. Scotillo Executive Director Municipal Boundary Adjustments

OA-1100-4 Farmington

MEMORANDUM

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. OA-1100-4, the Chief Administrative Law Judge finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.

cons