

OA-1067-2 Montrose
City Resolution No. 2004-29
Town Resolution No. 04-12

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

STATE OF MINNESOTA

BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF

STRATEGIC AND LONG RANGE PLANNING

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION)
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF MONTROSE)
AND THE TOWN OF WOODLAND PURSUANT TO) ORDER
MINNESOTA STATUTES 414)

WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted by the City of
Montrose and the Town of Woodland; and

WHEREAS, a resolution was received from the City of Montrose and the Town of
Woodland indicating their desire that certain property be annexed to the City of Montrose
pursuant to M.S. 414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic
and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the
annexation of land pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, on December 21, 2004, the Director has reviewed and accepted the
resolution for orderly annexation;


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in
accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Montrose, Minnesota, the same
as if it had originally been made a part thereof:

The South Half of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section Two (2), and the
North Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section Eleven (11), all in Township One

Hundred Eighteen (118) North, Range Twenty-Six (26) West, Wright County, State of Minnesota.

Dated this 21st day of December, 2004.

For the Director
658 Cedar Street, Room 300
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Christine M. Scotillo". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Christine M. Scotillo
Executive Director
Municipal Boundary Adjustments

MEMORANDUM

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. OA-1067-2, the Director finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area. Agreeing to continue the county's planning and zoning controls for the designated area, which the parties have agreed to future urbanization, appears to be inconsistent with statutory requirements.

Section 3 of the agreement imposes a financial obligation on the property owners of the subject property to reimburse the Township for lost tax revenue. The authority to impose this type of obligation on the property owner is questionable. Reimbursement for lost tax revenue is an optional provision to be negotiated. Minnesota Statutes Sec. 414.036 states reimbursement is to be "from the municipality to the town...." The issuance of this order makes no determination as to the legality or validity of these provisions of the agreement. Any issue that may arise relative to the application or interpretation of these sections will be the sole responsibility of the signatories to the agreement.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.

CMS