OA-1002-4 Buffalo City Signed Resolution 2-22-05 Town Signed Resolution 3-1-05

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION)AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF BUFFALO)AND THE TOWN OF BUFFALO PURSUANT TO)MINNESOTA STATUTES 414)

ORDER

WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted by the City of Buffalo and the Town of Buffalo; and

WHEREAS, a resolution was received from the City of Buffalo and the Town of Buffalo

indicating their desire that certain property be annexed to the City of Buffalo pursuant to M.S.

414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic

and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the

annexation pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, Reorganization Order No. 192, effective March 8, 2005, has transferred the duties of the Director to the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2005, the Chief Administrative Law Judge reviewed and accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Buffalo, Minnesota, the same as if

it had originally been made a part thereof:

That part of Government Lot 3 of Section 16, Township 120, Range 25, described as follows: The North 180.00 feet of said Government Lot 3 lying East of the County Road as now traveled.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2005.

For the Chief Administrative Law Judge 658 Cedar Street - Room 300 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

bristine fr. Scotillo

Christine M. Scotillo Executive Director Municipal Boundary Adjustments

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. OA-1002-4, the Chief Administrative Law Judge finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

Once jurisdiction is conferred by submission of this agreement to this office, it cannot be taken away by written consent of the parties. Jurisdiction ends when all the designated area is annexed. The issue whether jurisdiction could be "given back" by the Chief Administrative Law Judge upon written request of the parties to the agreement to mutually end their agreement has not been addressed.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.