

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

Robert W. Johnson	Chairman
Arthur R. Swan	Vice Chairman
Robert J. Ford	Member
Albert A. Kordiak	Ex-Officio Member
L. H. Roy Johnson	Ex-Officio Member

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION)
FOR THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN)
LAND TO THE CITY OF ANOKA)
(PETITION OF CARLYLE COMPANY))

O R D E R

The above-described petition for annexation was received by the Minnesota Municipal Commission on the 21st day of February, 1969, and came on for hearing on March 11, 1969.

The Minnesota Municipal Commission, having issued no order by the 11th day of March, 1970, and all parties not having agreed to extend such time hereby gives notices that the petition is deemed rejected by operation of Minnesota Statutes 1967, Section 414.01, Subdivision 13.


The property petitioned for annexation is described as follows:

○ The North half (N½) of the Northwest Quarter (NW¼) of Section 32, Township 32, Range 24, Anoka County, Minnesota, except the east 183.00 feet of the north 200.00 feet of the south 510.00 feet, subject to the existing public road.

The West 60 feet of the South Half (S½) of the Northwest Quarter (NW¼) of Section 32, Township 32, Range 24, Anoka County, Minnesota lying North of County Road No. 116.

Dated this 30th day of March, 1970

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL COMMISSION
610 Capitol Square Building
St. Paul, Minnesota


Bruce Rasmussen
Secretary

A-1489
Anoka Annexation
(Petition of Carlyle Company)

M E M O R A N D U M

At the hearing on this matter the Commission ascertained, and all parties agreed, that the future urbanization of the unincorporated areas of Anoka County including the area proposed for annexation should be brought forth in greater detail. Accordingly, the Commission requested and the Metropolitan Council undertook such a study. For various reasons the study was not completed on schedule. The principle reason is that the site of the new major metropolitan airport has not yet been chosen. This facility will have tremendous impact on the pattern and timing of urbanization of the surrounding area. It was hoped that this information would be available prior to the study, but the decision was not forthcoming.

In the interim the petitioners apparently lost interest in the proposed annexation and did not agree to extend the Commission's time for making a decision. Accordingly, no order has been issued and the requested annexation is deemed by law to be rejected.