### DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

## STATE OF MINNESOTA

#### BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF

STRATEGIC AND LONG RANG	E PLANNING
IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ROGERS AND THE TOWN OF HASSAN PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES 414	) ) ) <u>ORDER</u> )
WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation	on was adopted by the City of Rogers
and the Town of Hassan; and	
WHEREAS, a resolution was received from the Cit	ty of Rogers indicating their desire tha
certain property be annexed to the City of Rogers pursuant	to M.S. 414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the annexation pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, on June 10, 2004, the Director has reviewed and accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Rogers, Minnesota, the same as if it had originally been made a part thereof:

That part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 27, Township 120, Range 23, lying Northeasterly of Hennepin County Highway No. 116, as delineated in State Aid Highway No. 116, Plat 21; together with all that part of said Hennepin County

Highway No. 116 lying between the East line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter and the Southerly extension of the West line of Block 1, Dutch Knolls 5<sup>th</sup> Addition.

Dated this 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2004.

For the Director 658 Cedar Street - Room 300 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Christine M. Scotillo

**Executive Director** 

Municipal Boundary Adjustments

Phristiacker Scotillo

# **MEMORANDUM**

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. OA-992-2, the Director finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.