BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

Kenneth F. Sette Robert J. Ferderer John W. Carey Chair Vice Chair Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR
THE DETACHMENT OF CERTAIN LAND FROM
THE CITY OF LINO LAKES AND ANNEXATION
TO THE CITY OF CENTERVILLE PURSUANT TO
MINNESOTA STATUTES 414.

ORDER

WHEREAS, a petition for the detachment of certain land from the City of Lino Lakes and annexation of the same territory to the City of Centerville was filed with the Minnesota Municipal Board on January 23, 1992; and

WHEREAS, a hearing was convened on said petition for the concurrent detachment of certain land from the City of Lino Lakes and annexation to the City of Centerville on April 15, 1992 and was continued; and

WHEREAS, the petitioners' have requested that the Municipal Board allow the withdrawal of said petition without prejudice for the concurrent detachment of certain land from the City of Lino Lakes and annexation to the City of Centerville;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the above-entitled petition is withdrawn without prejudice, and the Minnesota Municipal Board file on said petition is hereby closed without prejudice. The

property description in said petition is described as follows:

The East one-half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 24, Township 31, Range 22, Anoka County, Minnesota lying West of the Westerly right-of-way line of Interstate Highway No. 35E.

Also, the East one-half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 24, Township 31, Range 22, Anoka County, Minnesota lying West of the Westerly right-of-way line of Interstate Highway No. 35E.

The total area of which is 111.11 Ac.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the effective date of this Order is September 18, 1992.

Dated this 24th day of September, 1992.

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD 475 McColl Building St. Paul, MN 55101

Terrence A. Merritt Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

The Municipal Board takes this opportunity to urge the Cities of Lino Lakes and Centerville as well as the petitioners to work out this matter, prior to resubmission of a petition for concurrent detachment and annexation. Such an urging is not a determination of the merits of the case, but rather an attempt to save the communities and the property owners the expense of another hearing, with related costs. This Order of Dismissal gives the communities and the petitioners a window of opportunity to resolve this matter at the local level