

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of the Annexation of Certain
Real Property to the City of Sleepy Eye
from Home Township
(MBAU Docket A-8058)

**ORDER APPROVING
ANNEXATION ORDINANCE**

On July 11, 2017, the City of Sleepy Eye (City) adopted Ordinance Number 133 Second Series (Ordinance) which approved the Petition for Annexation of Anthony Wurtzberger to annex certain real property (Property) from Home Township (Township) legally described as follows:

That Part of Lot A-1 of Lot A of Sublot 1 of Gov't Lot 1 [and of NW¼ of NE¼] of Sec 29, Twp 110, Range 32 not already in the City of Sleepy Eye, Brown County, Minnesota.

On June 27, 2017, the Township adopted a Waiver of Objection to the annexation of the Property.

Based upon a review of the Ordinance, the Chief Administrative Law Judge makes the following:

ORDER

1. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 414.033 (2016), the Ordinance is deemed adequate in all legal respects and properly support this Order.
2. Pursuant to the terms of the Ordinance and this Order, the Property is **ANNEXED** to the City.
3. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 414.036 (2016), the City will reimburse the Township \$73.20 each year for two years as stated in Ordinance Number 133 Second Series.

Dated: August 2, 2017



TAMMY L. PUST
Chief Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE

This Order is the final administrative order in this case under Minn. Stat. §§ 414.033, .07, .09, .12 (2016). Minn. Stat. § 414.033, subd. 7 requires that a copy of the annexation ordinance be filed with the townships, the appropriate county auditor(s), and the Secretary of State.

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 414.07, subd. 2, any person aggrieved by this Order may appeal to Brown County District Court by filing an Application for Review with the Court Administrator within 30 days of this Order. An appeal does not stay the effect of this Order.

Any party may submit a written request for an amendment of this Order within seven days from the date of the mailing of the Order pursuant to Minn. R. 6000.3100 (2017). However, no request for amendment shall extend the time of appeal from this Order.