

# CHIGLO LAW OFFICE

TERRY CHIGLO  
ATTORNEY AT LAW

210 EAST CEDAR, Box 507  
HOUSTON, MINNESOTA 55943

REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

January 7, 2015

Certified Mail Number: 70122210000202921700

Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-5328


RE: La Crescent Township Annexation  
Our File Number: 2014-5328

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed please find the Objections for MBA Docket Number A-7905. I am the Attorney for La Crescent Township and my Attorney ID number is 0243875.

If you have any questions, please give me a call. Thank you.

Yours truly,



Terry A. Chiglo  
Attorney at Law

Enclosures

TAC/mks

cc: La Crescent Township  
City of La Crescent  
Attorney Skip Wieser

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.

REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

Linda S. Bangtson


743 4<sup>th</sup> St. N.

La Crescent, MN 55947

Parcel ID: 080725000

Legal Description: LOT ONE (1), BLOCK ONE (1), AND THE NORTH TEN (10) FEET  
OF LOT SEVEN (7), BLOCK ONE (1), OF THE PLAT OF CRESCENT HILLS THIRD  
ADDITION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF LA CRESCENT, HOUSTON COUNTY,  
MINNESOTA.

Date 12/31/14

Signature 

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:


1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.

Daniel P. and Shawn M. Daley  
739 4<sup>th</sup> St. N.  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080726000  
Legal Description: LOT TWO (2), BLOCK ONE (1), CRESCENT HILLS THIRD  
ADDITION, ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED PLAT THEREOF, HOUSTON COUNTY,  
MINNESOTA.

AND

AN EASEMENT FOR RECREATIONAL USE, INCLUDING THE USE OF A SWIMMING POOL, OVER THAT PART OF LOT ONE (1), BLOCK ONE (1), DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT TWO (2), BLOCK ONE (1); THENCE SOUTHERLY ON AND ALONG THE WEST LINES OF LOT TWO (2), AND LOT THREE (3), BLOCK ONE (1), FOR A DISTANCE OF 132.93 FEET; THENCE NORTH 14°22'30" WEST TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT TWO (2), BLOCK ONE (1); THENCE EASTERLY ON THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT TWO (2), BLOCK ONE (1), TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT TWO (2), BLOCK ONE (1), ALL IN THE PLAT OF CRESCENT HILLS THIRD ADDITION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF LA CRESCENT, HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

Date 12/31/14

Signature 

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.


Timothy J. and Susan C. Graf  
735 N. 4<sup>th</sup> St.  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080727000

REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

Legal Description: LOT THREE (3), IN BLOCK ONE (1), OF CRESCENT HILLS THIRD  
ADDITION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF LA CRESCENT, HOUSTON COUNTY,  
MINNESOTA.

Date 12/31/14

Signature 

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.




David R. and Katherine Melbostad  
731 N. 4<sup>th</sup> St.  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080728000

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

Legal Description: LOT FOUR (4), BLOCK ONE (1), CRESCENT HILLS THIRD  
ADDITION, ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED PLAT THEREOF, HOUSTON COUNTY,  
MINNESOTA.

Date 12/31/14

Signature 

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA  
1 JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.

Dewayne M. Severson  
727 4<sup>th</sup> St. N.  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080729000

Legal Description: LOT FIVE (5), BLOCK ONE (1), CRESCENT HILLS THIRD  
ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF LA CRESCENT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF


REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

ON FILE AND OF RECORD IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER IN AND  
FOR HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

Date 12/31/14

Signature



**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.

Michael J. Rytilahti and Erica R. Versch  
723 4<sup>th</sup> St. N.  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080730000

Legal Description: LOT SIX (6), BLOCK ONE (1), BLOCK TWO (2), CRESCENT HILLS  
THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF LA CRESCENT AND TOWNSHIP OF LA

CRESCENT ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED PLAT THEREOF, HOUSTON COUNTY,  
MINNESOTA.

TOGETHER WITH ALL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE WATER SERVICE  
AGREEMENT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 1998 AS DOCUMENT NO. 196337.

Date 12/31/14

Signature 

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**

To: Office of Administrative Hearings  
Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit  
PO Box 64620  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0620

**OBJECTION**

REC'D BY  
MBA

JAN 08 2015

MBA Docket Number A-7905 Proposed Annexation to the City of La Crescent. The Town Board of La Crescent Township, Houston County pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the town board on October 28, 2014 hereby objects to the proposed annexation of the following described property to the City of La Crescent for the following reasons:

1. Current planning by the Township has current land use planning commission. The impact on the current long-term plan of the Township would be compromised by the annexation.
2. There would be no long-term benefit to transportation and highway development. Proposed annexation has nothing to add to long-term transportation issues, already being addressed by the Township.
3. The Township has in place its own zoning and land use regulations and in addition complies with current Houston County zoning and land use requirements. There would be no added benefit to annexation.
4. Current existing levels of government services are being provided. Existing agreements are in place and being provided by the Township, including no increase in fire rating if annexed.
5. There is a current annexation agreement in place that was agreed to by the Township and the City. This agreement was to last for at least 10 years.
6. There are no existing or potential environmental problems that will be resolved by annexation. The Township has a comprehensive plan that addresses any such issues.
7. The current landowners would not be best served by annexation. Their taxes would likely increase, but no additional services would be provided by the City that aren't already being provided by the Township.
8. There will be no added benefit to the proposed annexed area. There is no reasonable relation to the increased revenue for the City in relation to the benefits conferred upon the annexed area. The Township will have reduced revenue and the landowners in the proposed annexed area will not receive additional benefit or services to their tax increase.

Thomas J. and Jenelle F. Lee  
1000 Crescent Hills Drive  
La Crescent, MN 55947  
Parcel ID: 080731000

Legal Description: LOT SEVEN (7), IN BLOCK ONE (1), CRESCENT HILLS 3<sup>RD</sup>  
ADDITION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF LA CRESCENT, EXCEPT THE NORTH 10 FEET  
THEREOF, HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

REC'D BY  
MBA  
JAN 08 2015

AND

ALSO INCLUDING THAT PART OF NORTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET LYING ADJACENT TO THE  
ABOVE DESCRIBED PARCELS.

Date 12/3/14

Signature *[Handwritten Signature]*

**PLEASE NOTE: OBJECTIONS MUST ALSO BE FILED WITH THE CITY.**