# PLEASE PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW WITHIN THE NEXT TEN DAYS WITH ANY ATTACHMENTS TO: 

Office of Administrative Hearings
Municipal Boundary Adjustments
300 Centennial Office Building
658 Cedar Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155
USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.

## FACTUAL INFORMATION

This form is being completed by Bill. Waller who is the City Administrator to the Petition filed under Minnesota Statute 414.033 Subd:3.

The term "subject area" refers to the area that is proposed for the boundary adjustment.

1. Present population and number of households, past population and projected population growth of the subject area:

2. Geographic Features:
a.

|  | Present <br> City | Present <br> Township | Subject <br> Area | Adjacent <br> Unit of Gov't |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Acreage | 2,179 |  | $\underline{6.6}$ | - |

b. Describe any waterways in or adjacent to the subject area: (rivers, streams, shorelands, protected water, protected wetlands, watershed districts):

## NA

c. Are the waterways subject to any Spate or Federal regulation?

Describe if yes: $\qquad$
d. Generally describe the soils in the subject area: $\qquad$
e. Describe the terrain in the subject area: Flat
3. Contiguity: The perimeter of the subject area is approximately $85 \%$ bordered by the municipality and $15 \%$ by the township and $\qquad$ \% by $\qquad$ $\because$
4. Present pattern of physical development, planning, and intended land uses in the subject area and adjoining areas:
a. The land uses are: (Fill in approximate acreage or percentages if available instead of yes or no.)


## Commercial/Retail

## Industrial

## Agricultural

Vacant Lands $\quad 17 \%$
(current zoning)
b. What type of development is proposed for the subject area?
$\qquad$
c. What are the current adjacent land uses and/or zoning? Residential, institutional ic cemetery, vacant
5. The present transportation network:
a.

| Present | Present | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| City | Township | Area |

Number of Miles of Highway, Streets, and Roads

$$
28.94
$$


b. Are any transportation changes planned in the subject area? y
 in the city? yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$ in adjacent areas? yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$
c. What are the major roads/highways that serve the subject area and adjoining areas? $\qquad$ H 25
6. Land Use Controls and Planning:
a. Comprehensive Plan (Attach copy of portion that applies to subject area):

|  | City | Township | County | Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adoption Date | $\frac{1999}{}$ |  |  | - |
| Status of Plan | Norce |  |  | - |
| No Existing Plan |  | - | - | - |

b. Have any area planning authorities adopted an official position on the proposed boundary adjustment? (planning commissions, boards, joint boards, Met Council, Regional Development Commissions, county, etc.)
yes $X$ no $\quad$ supportive $X$ non-supportive $\ldots$.

If yes, describe and attach copy of position document:
The city of La Crescent's Planning
Commissiod, copy of meeting minutes.
fincluded.
c. Please check where the following exists and give any necessary explanations on how it relates to the proposed boundary adjustment. (Since it may be possible that two or more ordinances attempt to regulate the same area, please circle whose ordinance presently applies to the subject area.)

|  | City | Township County | Date Adopted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes No | Yes No Yes No | City Town County |
| Zoning | No |  |  |
| Subdivision Regulations | No |  |  |
| Official Map | NO |  |  |
| Capital Improvements/ <br> Budget | No |  |  |
| Fire Code | Yes |  |  |
| Shoreland Ordinance | No |  |  |
| Floodplain Ordinance | NO |  |  |
| Wild \& Scenic Rivers Ord. | No |  |  |
| Sanitation Ordinance (on-site sewage treatment) | No |  |  |

Attach copies of maps and portions of ordinances that apply to subject area.
d. What is the current zoning of the subject area? $\qquad$ and how long has it been in effect? $\qquad$
e. What is the anticipated zoning if this boundary adjustment is approved?

Residential
f. Is the subject area, or any portion thereof, eligible for deferred tax treatment "Green Acres" (M.S. 273.111) because of agriculture or other use? yes $\qquad$ no $X$

Describe if yes: $\qquad$
g. Has the city established separate Urban and Rural Taxing Districts (M.S. 272.67) in the subject or adjoining area?
yes $\qquad$ no $X$ Describe if yes: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. Present governmental services being provided in the subject area:

|  | City provides to city Yes No | City provides to subject area <br> Yes No | City will provide to subject area Yes No | Township provides to township Yes No | Township provides to sübject areà Yes No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Water | Yes | $N 0$ | Yes |  |  |
| ** Sanitary <br> Sewer/ <br> Wastewater <br> Treatment | Yes | NO | Yes |  |  |
| Storm Sewer | Yes | NO | Yes |  |  |
| Solid Waste Collection \& Disposal | Yes | NO | Yes |  |  |
| Fire Protection | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Law <br> Enforcement | Yes | No | Yes |  |  |
| Street <br> Improvements | Yes | No | Yes |  |  |
| Street <br> Maintenance | Yes | No | Yes |  |  |
| Administrative Services | Yes | No | Yes |  |  |
| Recreational Services | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |

Other

* If city does not provide water to the subject area, who does? Provided oNsite.

What is the percentage of capacity currently being used by the city? $\quad 50 \%$

* Would city take over or allow existing use? The City would reg vire that water be extended to this site.
** If city does not provide sewer to the subject area, who does? Provided oN-Site.

What is the percentage of capacity currently being used by the city? _. $50 \%$
** Would city take over or allow existing use? The City would reguice that sewer, be extended to this site.
8. Describe any existing or potential environmental problems and whether the proposed action is likely to improve or resolve these problems: (Example: ground or surface water problems, water quality and levels, sewage treatment, air pollutant emissions, noise, odors, affect on fish or wildlife; affect on historical resources, archaeological resources, aesthetic resources; impairment of park lands, prime farmlands, wild and scenic rivers, critical area; abandoned dump or disposal site, etc.) NONe
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
9. Plans and programs by the receiving governmental unit for providing governmental services to the subject area and the impact of the proposed action on those services:

10. Describe the fiscal impact on the city, the subject area, and adjacent units of local government:

|  | Present City <br> Year: 2007 | Present Township <br> Year: | Subject Area <br> Year: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Tax Rates:
County
49.494

Local Unit of Govt 52.229
School District
18.686

Special Tax District
Insurance Rating (fire) $\qquad$
Levy Limit. $N \mid A$
Actual Current Levy ${ }^{61,390,089}$
Total Bonded Indebtedness. 3,700,000
11. Would the proposed action affect any school district boundaries or adjacent communities?
yes $\qquad$ no $X$ Describe if yes: $\qquad$
12. Are new services necessary for the subject area?
yes _ no If so, which ones: $\qquad$

What is the current capacity of the sewer and water systems, how much is being used and by whom? The current capacity of the city sewer and water Systems are more than adequate to allow for service to the subject area.
13. Can necessary governmental services best be provided by the proposed boundary adjustment or would another type of boundary adjustment be more appropriate?
yes
by proposed action
no $\qquad$ by
14. If the boundary adjustment were approved:
a. Would the city/township suffer any undue hardship? yes $\qquad$ no $X$
Describe if yes: $\qquad$
b. Would the symmetry of the city/township be effected? yes _ no X
Describe if yes: $\qquad$
c. Should the subject area be increased or decreased? yes $X$ no Describe if yes, and attach a revised area map: There are other areas in close proximity to the subject area that meet the minimum surrounding requirements necessary for annexation. A map is attached.
15. Has the city 1 township provided any improvements to the subject area? yes _..n no

If so, which ones and when: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
16. Has the city/township assessed the subject area for the improvements? yes ___ no Describe if yes:
$\qquad$
17. Will the subject area be assuming any city/township indebtedness? yes $\qquad$ no $X$ Describe if yes: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
18. Has there ever been a previous boundary adjustment filed for this property or substantially the same property before? yes ___ no Describe if yes: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
19. Why have you filed a petition/objection for boundary adjustment? To allow for the orderly development of the subject area with municipal services, including water and sewer.
20. Will the boundary adjustment generate a need for an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)? yes $\qquad$ no $X$ If so, why? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Attach any additional information which you believe is important. If you have not supplied some information, please explain why.

## SUBMITTED BY:


this $31^{5 \pm}$
day of
 , 20 $\qquad$ Bel Wall, City Administrator

Township of $\qquad$ this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$

[^0]Township Clerk

BY: $\qquad$ this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$

[^1]Property Owner

BY: $\qquad$ this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$

[^2]Complete the form as fully and completely as possible. Any information that is not supplied, and is readily available, and must be obtained by the Department of Administration - Municipal Boundary Adjustments will be charged back against the party who did not supply it. A jointly completed form is also acceptable.

If you have any questions, contact the Municipal Boundary Adjustments Office at (651) 284-3383.

Minutes from La descent City Planning Commission


Upon a roll call vote, the Planning Commission members voted in the following manner with the majority present voting for the motion as proposed.

Dave Hanif - Yes
Patti Dockendorff - Yes
Corey Kistler - Yes
Don Smith -Yes
Dianne Brimmer - Abstain
Earl Welsh - Yes
Jerry Steffes - Yes
A call for nominations for the vice-chairperson of the Planning Commission was made by chair Smith.

Motion by Kistler, seconded by Welch to nominate Jerry Steffes as the vice-chairperson of the Planning Commission.

After three calls if there were any other nominations, the nomination process was closed.
Upon a roll call vote, the Planning Commission members voted in the following manner with the majority present voting for the motion as proposed.

Earl Welch - Yes<br>Corey Kistler - Yes<br>Dave Hanifl - Yes<br>Patti Dockendorff - Yes<br>Jerry Steffes - Yes<br>Dianne Brimmer - Abstain<br>Jerry Steffes - Yes<br>Don Smith -Yes

3.3 Discussion was had concerning the item; "Initiate Annexation Procedure" that was presented to the City Council dated February 22, 2007.

Motion by Kistler, seconded by Welsh to recommend to the City Council that any development in the parcels 1,2 or 3 as identified in the memo "Initiate Annexation Procedure" that was presented to the City Council dated February 22, 2007, be developed to City of La Crescent standards. These standards to include utilities, roadway design per the Subdivision Ordinance 13.13. DESIGN STANDARDS FOR LAND SUBDIVISIONS, and storm water measures per 12.185 STORM WATER POLLUTION AND EROSION CONTROL ORDINANCE.

Upon a roll call vote, all members present voted in favor of the motion as proposed.
3.4 There was discussion concerning the upcoming joint meeting of the City Council and the Planning Commission to be held on March 19, 2006. It was concluded that the Chairperson would contact the Mayor to discuss the items that the Planning Commission suggest be included on the agenda.

Note: Area 1 is subject area.
Area 2 and 3 meet the minimum surrounding requirements to allow for annexations?






[^0]:    Township Chair

[^1]:    Property Owner

[^2]:    Property Owner

