A-2949 Jordan

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD

OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

Thomas	J. Simmons	Chairman
Robert	W. Johnson	Vice Chairman
Gerald	J. Isaacs	Member
Roland	Boegeman	Ex-Officio Member
Marvin	Oldenburg	Ex-Officio Member
	and the second	and a second

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR THE AM ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN LAND TO THE CITY) OF JORDAN

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the Minnesota Municipal Board pursuant to Minnesota Statutes 414, as amended, on June. 28, 1976 at Jordan, Minnesota. The hearing was conducted by Chairman Thomas Simmons. Also in attendance were Board Member Gerald Isaads and County Commissioners Roland Boegeman and Marvin Oldenburg, Ex-Officio Members of the Board. The City of Jordan appeared by and through Lee Labore, Attorney at Law. The Town of Sand Creek appeared by and through Lou Moriarity, Attorney at Law.

After due and careful consideration of all evidence, together with all records, files and proceedings, the Minnesota Municipal Board hereby makes and files the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On March 30, 1976, an objection by Sand Creek Township to an annexation by ordinance resolution from the City of Jordan, supporting petitioning property owners, was received by the Minnesota Municipal Board requesting the Board to conduct hearings as in the case of annex-The annexation resolution contained all the information required ation. by statute including the fact that the area abuts 108 the City of Jordan and that the territory subject to annexation is as follows:

The East ½ of the NW¼ of Section 30, T114N, R23W, Scott County Minnesota, lying North of the public highway, which runs through the North part of the E½ of the NW½ of above mentioned Section, Township and Range, containing one and three quarters acres of

land, be the same more or less, according to the plat thereof on file and of record in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said County and State.

2. Due, timely and adequate legal notice of the hearing was published, served, and filed.

- 3. Geographic Features
 - a. The area subject to annexation is unincorporated and abuts the City of Jordan.
 - b. The total area of the City of Jordan is 1,260 acres, The total area of the territory subject to annexation is less than 200 acres, including platted and unplatted land.
 - c. The degree of contiguity of the boundaries between the annexing municipality and the proposed annexed property is as follows: substantial.

4. Population Data

- a. The City of Jordan
 - 1) Past population growth: 1970 1,836
 - 2) Present population: 1974 2,231
 - 3) Projected population: 1980 2,500
- b. The area subject to annexation
 - 1) Past population growth: Unknown
 - 2) Present population: Unknown
 - 3) Projected population: Some increase with residential development.
- 5. Development Issues
 - a. What, if any, are the comprehensive plans for the development of the property proposed for annexation and/or the annexing municipality, including development projected by the metropolitan council. Residential development is anticipated.
 - b. What land use controls are presently being employed.
 - 1) In the City of Jordan
 - a) Zoning ¥es
 - b) Subdivision regulations Yes
 - c) Housing and building codes state building and plumbing codes
 - d) Other Planning Commission

- 2) In the area to be annexed:
 - a) Zoning Yes, by Scott County
 - b) Subdivision regulations Unknown
 - c) Housing and building codes Unknown
 - d) Other Sand Creek Planning Commission
- c. Does the city require future growth space? Yes. If so, will the area subject to annexation provide the City of Jordan with necessary growth space? Yes, for anticipated residential growth.
- d. The present pattern of physical development is:
 - 1) In the City of Jordan:
 - a) Residential Yes
 - b) Industrial Yes
 - c) Commercial Yes
 - d) Institutional Yes
 - 2) In the area subject to annexation:
 - a) Residential Unknown
 - b) Industrial Unknown
 - c) Commercial Unknown
 - d) Institutional Unknown
- e. What will be the effect, if any, of the annexation on adjacent communities? None.

6. Governmental Services

- a. Presently the Township of Sand Creek provides the area subject to annexation with the following services:
 - 1) Water No
 - 2) Sewer No
 - 3) Fire Protection No, contracts with the City of Jordan
 - 4) Police Protection by Scott County and township constable
 - 5) Steet Improvements Unknown
 - 6) Street Maintenance Yes
 - 7) Recreational Unknown

- b. Presently, the City of Jordan provides its citizens with the following services:
 - 1) Water Yes
 - 2) Sewer Yes
 - 3) Fire Protection Yes, 27 person volunteer force
 - 4) Police Protection Yes, 24 hour service
 - 5) Street Improvements Yes, most streets paved
 - 6) Street Maintenance Yes
 - 7) Recreational Yes
- c. Presently, the City of Jordan provides the area subject to annexation with the followinggservices:
 - 1) Water No
 - 2) Sewer No
 - 3) Fire Protection Yes, by contract
 - 4) Police Protection Will assist, if needed
 - 5) Street Improvements Unknown
 - 6) Street Maintenance Does provide some service
 - 7) Recreational Yes
- d. Plans to extend municipal services to the area subject to annexation include the following: The City of Jordan has designed its utility system for future expansion and all services can be made available when required.
- e. There are existing or potential pollution problems which are: effluents entering the ground water because of soil conditions. The following additional services will help resolve this situation: city sewer

7. Fiscal Data

- a. In the City of Jordan, the assessed valuation trend is rising, the mill rate is \$2.89 per \$100 (1974) and the present bonded indebtedness is unknown.
- b. In the area subject to annexation, the mill rate trend is stable, and the assessed valuation is \$1,975.
- c. Will the annexation have any effect upon area school districts? No, the entire area is in thessame school district.

-4-

- 8. Is annexation to the City of Jordan the best alternative?
 - a. Could governmental services be better provided for by consolidation or annexation of the area with an adjacent municipality other than the City of Jordan? No, there is none.
 - b. Could Sand Creek Township provide the services required? No, sewer and water are not available.
 - c. Can Sand Creek Township continue to function without the area subject to annexation? Yes, the value of this property represents a very small portion of the township's assessed valuation.
- 9. A majority of property owners in the area to be annexed have petitioned requesting annexational and requesting annexation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Minnesota Municipal Board duly acquired and now has jurisdiction of the within proceeding.

2. The area subject to annexation is now or is about to become urban or suburban in character.

3. Municipal government is required to protect the public health, safety, and welfare in the area subject to annexation.

4. The best interest of the City of Jordan and the area subject to annexation will be furthered by annexation.

5. The remainder of the Township of Sand Creek can carry on the functions of government without undue hardship.

6. There is a reasonable relationship between the increase in revenue for the City of Jordan and the value of benefits conferred upon the area subject to annexation.

7. Annexation of all or a part of the property to an adjacent municipality would not better serve the interests of the residents who residenin the area subject to annexation.

8. This annexation proceeding has been initiated by a petition of a majority of property owners and, therefore, this Minnesota Municipal Board order is not subject to an annexation election.

9. An order should be issued by the Minnesota Municipal Board annexing the area described herein.

-5-

ORDER

That the property described herein situated in IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: the County of Scott, State of Minnesota, be and the same is hereby annexed to the City of Jordan, Minnesota, the same as if it had been originally made a part thereof:

The East ½ of the NW¼ of Section 30, T114N, R23W, Scott County, Minnesota, lying North of the public highway, which runs through the North part of the E_2 of the NW4 of above mentioned Section, Township and Range, containing one and three quarters acres of land, be the same more or less, according to the plat thereof on file and of record in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said County and State.

That the effective date of this UNTHER ORDERED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: That the effective date of this order is July 21, 1976.

Dated this 21st day of July , 1976

MINNESOTA MUNICIPAL BOARD Suite 165, Metro Square Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101

4 Meina liam 11

William A. Neiman Executive Secretary