STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEXATION)	
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ISANTI)	
AND THE TOWN OF ISANTI PURSUANT TO)	<u>ORDER</u>
MINNESOTA STATUTES 414)	

WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted by the City of Isanti and the Town of Isanti; and

WHEREAS, an amendment to the joint resolution was received from the City of Isanti and the Town of Isanti indicating their desire that certain property be annexed to the City of Isanti pursuant to M.S. 414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the annexation of land pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, Reorganization Order No. 192, effective March 8, 2005, has transferred the duties of the Director to the Chief Administrative Law Judge; and

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2005, the Chief Administrative Law Judge has reviewed and accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Isanti, Minnesota, the same as if it

had originally been made a part thereof:

PID: 050313100; 3.22 Acre Parcel. Isanti County, Minnesota, to-wit: The East 246.25 feet of the West 661.25 feet of the North 570 feet of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (SW ¼ of NE ¼) of Section Thirty-one (31), Township Thirty-five (35), Range Twenty-three (23), subject to roads, easements and restrictions if any.

Dated this 10th day of August, 2005.

For the Chief Administrative Law Judge 658 Cedar Street, Room 300 St. Paul, MN 55155

Christine M. Scotillo Executive Director

Municipal Boundary Adjustments

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. A-2457(OA)-29 Isanti, the Chief Administrative Law Judge finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.