DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

STATE OF MINNESOTA

BEFORE THE ACTING DIRECTOR OF

STRATEGIC AND LONG RANGE PLANNING

IN THE MATTER OF THE ORDERLY ANNEX	KATION)		
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ISAN	NTI AND)		
THE TOWN OF ISANTI PURSUANT TO MIN	NESOTA)	<u>ORDER</u>	
STATUTES 414)		

WHEREAS, a joint resolution for orderly annexation was adopted by the City of Isanti and the Town of Isanti; and

WHEREAS, an amendment to the joint resolution was received from the City of Isanti and the Town of Isanti requesting that certain property be annexed to the City of Isanti pursuant to M.S. 414.0325; and

WHEREAS, M.S. 414.0325 states that in certain circumstances the Director of Strategic and Long Range Planning may review and comment, but shall within 30 days order the annexation pursuant to said subdivisions; and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 2003, the Acting Director has reviewed and accepted the resolution for orderly annexation;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: That the following described property is hereby annexed in accordance with the terms of the joint resolution to the City of Isanti, Minnesota, the same

as if it had originally been made a part thereof:

Beginning at the intersections of the right-of-ways of Isanti County Road 5 and Minnesota Trunk Highway 65, being inclusive of the right-of-way as platted, dedicated and/or used and following said right-of-way for Isanti County Road 5 in an easterly direction to a point 1320 feet west of the easterly section line of Section 29, Township 35, Range 23 in Isanti County and there terminating. Said description to include all right-of-way as platted, dedicated and/or used as County Road 5 within and adjacent to the route described above.

Dated this 16th day of June, 2003.

For the Acting Director 658 Cedar Street, Room 300 St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Christine M. Scotillo Executive Director

Municipal Boundary Adjustments

bristine 4. Scotillo

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

In ordering the annexation contained in Docket No. A-2457(OA)-17 Isanti, the Acting Director of Strategic and Long Range Planning finds and makes the following comment:

Planning in the area designated for orderly annexation must be provided for by one of three provisions set forth in Minnesota Statutes Section 414.0325, Subd. 5. The joint resolution does not make reference to which of the three statutory provisions the parties have agreed on to govern planning in the designated area.

The parties are encouraged to consider this comment in light of any further amendments that may be otherwise necessary to this agreement for orderly annexation.